UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,565	12/20/2004	Andreas Goeke	102790-184 (30037 US)	6786
	7590 04/30/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AVE 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/518,565	GOEKE, ANDREAS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph D. Anthony	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3 and 7-8</u> is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	thdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edination of the Edination of the Idah of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/04 and 2/14/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 2-3 and 7-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of formula I, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/25/08. Please note that claim 7 is being withdrawn since it is dependent on both claim 4 and claim 2, and claim 2 was not elected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chemical Abstract AN. # 1995:818045 CAPLUS or Chemical Abstract AN. # 2000:184161 CAPLUS or Chemical Abstract AN. # 1984:610054 CAPLUS.

The 1995:818045 CAPLUS abstract teaches a compound of tricyclo [3.2.1]octan-2-one, 1,8,8-trimethyl whereas CAPLUS Abstract 2000:184161 teaches a compound of tricyclo [3.2.1]octan-6-one, 3,3,5-trimethyl and the CAPLUS Abstract 1984:610054 teaches a compound of tricyclo [3.2.1]octan-2-one, 1,8,8-trimethyl.

Page 3

Claims 4-5 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chemical Abstract AN. # 1995:818045 CAPLUS or Chemical Abstract AN. # 2000:184161 CAPLUS or Chemical Abstract AN. # 1984:610054 CAPLUS.

The said abstracts have been described above and differ from applicant's invention in the following ways: 1) they do not directly teach (i.e. by way of a specific example) a compound that falls within applicant's claimed compounds of claims 4-5, 2) it is unclear the exact synthesis processes used to make said compounds as claimed in claims 15-16, and 3) there is not a direct disclosure to the use of said compounds to make flavor or fragrance compositions as set forth in claims 12-14.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the disclosures of the references from which the said Chemical Abstracts were taken as additional motivation to makes compounds that fall within applicant's claimed compounds, and to make these by a method that reads on applicant's claimed method. The use of use ketones in flavor or fragrance compositions is deemed to be at once envisaged since these types of ketones are well known in the art to be highly aromatic, and ketones in general are well known fragrances.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over applicant cited Article XP-002254318 entitled: Regiochemical Trends in Intramolecular [2 + 2]

Photocycloadditions of 6-(Prop-2-enyl)cyclohex-2-enones and 5-(prop-2-enyl)cyclopent-2-eones, by Giuliano Cruciani et al..

The said Article is deemed to anticipate applicant's claims. In the alternative, if applicant's specifically disclosed compounds are not directly disclosed, they would have been obvious since they fall within the generic broad disclosure of ketone compounds taught by the reference. Furthermore, applicant's claims are deemed to be obvious over the generic disclosure of the document's method of making said ketone compounds.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant cited Article XP-002254318 entitled: Regiochemical Trends in Intramolecular [2 + 2] Photocycloadditions of 6-(Prop-2-enyl)cyclohex-2-enones and 5-(prop-2-enyl)cyclopent-2-eones, by Giuliano Cruciani et al..

The article has been described above and differs from applicant's claimed invention in that there is not a direct disclosure to the use of said compounds to make flavor or fragrance compositions as set forth in claims 12-14.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the disclosed ketones in flavour or fragrance compositions since such is deemed to be at once envisaged since these types of ketones are well known in the art to be highly aromatic, and ketones in general are well known fragrances.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are indefinite because they use the term "use" in the preamble of

claims which is not a proper statutory class of invention. These claims also lack a

definitive method step. As such, these claims are being withdrawn from further

consideration.

Examiner Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Joseph D. Anthony whose telephone number

is (571) 272-1117. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The centralized FAX

machine number is (571) 273-8300. All other papers received by FAX will be treated as

Official communications and cannot be immediately handled by the Examiner.

/Joseph D. Anthony/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 4/28/08